AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA	ATION/MODIF	FICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT	ID CODE	PAGE OF	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		J	5. PROJECT	NO.(Ifapplica	8 able)
0001	19-Jan-2005						
6. ISSUED BY CODE	HQ0013	7. ADMINISTERED BY (Ifother than item 6)		COI	DE		
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY 201 12TH STREET SOUTH SUITE 203 ARLINGTON VA 22203-5408		See Item 6					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	(No., Street, County,	State and Zip Code)	X 9A	. AMENDMI	ENT OF SO	LICITATIO	ON NO.
	(,,	-	- ITC	Q0013-05-R-(1)	
				s. DATED (SE '-Jan-2005	EEIIEMI	1)	
			10	A. MOD. OF	CONTRAC	CT/ORDER	NO.
			10	B. DATED (SEE ITEM	13)	
CODE	FACILITY COI	DE					
		APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLIC	CITAT	IONS			
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set fort	h in Item 14. The hour and	date specified for receipt of Offer	is e	xtended,	is not exte	ended.	
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment price	•	•					
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 2 or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a re	— •	nt; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendmen					
RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR TH					IO BE		
REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this ar	•				ter,		
provided each telegramor letter makes reference to the 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DA		unent, and is received prior to the opening nour a	na date s	pecilied.			
12. ACCOUNTING AND AFFROFRIATION DA	ATA (II required)						
13. THIS ITE	EM APPLIES ONLY	ΓΟ MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS	S/ORDE	ERS.			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSU CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.		CT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITE authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH		EM 14 ARE M	IADE IN T	HE	
CONTRACT ORDER NO. INTIEM TOA.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/O office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORT	TH IN ITEM 14, PUR	SUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAI			as changes i	in paying	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENT AL AGREEMENT IS	SENTERED INTO PU	JRSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and	authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sig	gn this document and return	copies	to the issuing	g office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIF where feasible.)	ICATION (Organized	by UCF section headings, including solic	itation/	contract subje	ect matter		
This solicitation is hereby modified to respond and conditions remain unchanged.	to industry questions	s and include the Past Performance Sur	vey Qu	iestionnaire.	All other te	erms	
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the d	ocument referenced in Item	9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchar	nged and	in full force and	effect.		
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or	print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CO.	NTRA	CT ING OFFI	CER (Type	or print)	
		TEL:	EN	/AIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE	D 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMER	RICA		16	C. DATE S	IGNED
		BY					
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	-	(Signature of Contracting Off	figor)		— ¹	19-Jan-2005	,

(Signature of person authorized to sign)
EXCEPTION TO SF 30
APPROVED BY OIRM 11-84

30-105-04

ST ANDARD FORM 30 (Rev. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

(End of Summary of Changes)

The following items are applicable to this modification:

DSCA ANNUAL CONFERENCE

The following are questions received from industry and responses from the government.

OUESTION #1:

I am interested in the current DSCA Conference Facilities contract. My company is an SDVO with staff that has the past performance and conference planning/hospitality background. We were wondering if this would be something you would consider setting aside either to womanowned, SDVO or 8(a) small business?

RESPONSE:

No. In accordance with H.R. 2297-12 ³ A Contracting Officer may award contracts on the basis of competition restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans if the Contracting Officer has a reasonable expectation that not less than 2 small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans will submit offers and that the award can be made at a fair market price. A source sought was conducted via FEDBIZOPS and more than 2 SDVO submitted capability briefs. The government does not maintain a vendor listing or bidders mailing list. Currently, there is no incumbent for this requirement.

QUESTION #2:

Can you tell me if this contract requires a security clearance? Also, will the solicitation be coming out today?

RESPONSE:

See part 6 of the Statement of Work. The solicitation was released on January 17, 2005 via the FEDBIZOPS.

QUESTION #3:

Where can we get a copy of the Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) our references are to complete and submit?

RESPONSE:

A copy of the PPQ is attached.

QUESTION #4:

Can our online representation and certification with ORCA (http://orca.bpn.gov), count as our Reps and Certs submission?

RESPONSE:

All perspective offerors should comply with the Instructions to Offerors.

QUESTION #5:

Is experience with and plan for obtaining system DITSCAP accreditation a requirement to win this contract?

RESPONSE:

All perspective offerors should review the solicitation for requirements.

QUESTION #6:

Would you please send additional details of your needs for this solicitation?

RESPONSE:

There are no additional details of governmental needs for this solicitation.

QUESTION #7:

Is there an incumbent for this solicitation?

RESPONSE:

See response to question number 1.

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

I. Evaluation of Offero	r:			
Company/Division Prov	viding Services:			
Address:	Provided:			
Contract Number:		Dollar Value (Annual):		
Performance Period:		Performance Location:		
Type of Contract:				
Check One: Fixed Price	Cost Reimbursement	Other (Please S	Specify)	
Check One: Negotiated	Sealed Bid	Competitive	Non-Competitive	
Basis of Payment: Commodity	Labor/Equipment Hours	Other (specify)		
Type & Extent of Subco	ontracting:			
II. Evaluated by:				
Company/Organization	/Address:			
Name & Title:				
Signature:		Date:		

Telephone: FAX:
III. Evaluation:
Please answer questions 1 through 15 using the following criteria. Circle only one response per question. For elements rated "unsatisfactory", please comment on the specific problem(s) or performance failure(s) that prompted this rating.
4 - Exceptional: Performance met and exceeded many of the contractual requirements to the organization's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions were highly effective.
3 - Very Good: Performance met and exceeded some to the contractual requirements to the organization's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions were effective.
2 - Satisfactory: Performance met contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions were satisfactory.
1 Marginal: Performance barely met contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which corrective actions have not yet been identified, appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.
0 Unsatisfactory: Performance did not meet some contractual requirement and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element being evaluated reflects serious problems for which corrective actions were ineffective.
N/A: Not Applicable or not observed.
 Evaluate the contractor's overall commitment to quality performance and customer satisfaction. 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
 2. Evaluate the contractor's overall technical competence. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
3. Evaluate the contractor's cooperation and willingness to work as a team (with your personnel, other contractors, etc.). 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
4. Evaluate the contractor's compliance with contractual requirements. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:

5. Evaluate the contractor's responsiveness to contract, program and/or schedule changes.

4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
6. Evaluate the effectiveness of the contractor's overall quality control procedures. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
7. Evaluate the effectiveness of the contractor's safety program or efforts. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
8. Evaluate the effectiveness of the contractor's cargo loss and damage prevention program or efforts. 4 $$ 3 $$ 2 $$ 1 $$ 0 $$ N/A
Comment:
9. Evaluate the effectiveness of the contractor's on-site management and supervision. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
 10. Evaluate the contractor's ability to overcome technical problems, labor issues, and/or other performance difficulties. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:
11. Evaluate the contractor's ability to plan and conduct operations in the most cost effective manner. $4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 0\ N/A$
Comment:
12. Evaluate the contractor's ability to adhere to schedules and complete work on time. 4 $\ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ N/A$
Comment:
13. Evaluate the quality and stability of the contractor's workforce. 4 3 2 1 0 N/A
Comment:

14. Evaluate the availability, adequacy and suitability of the contractor's staffing for the work required.

4	3	2	1	0	N/A
---	---	---	---	---	-----

Comment:

15. Evaluate the availability, adequacy and suitability of the contractor's gear and equipment for the work required. $4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ N/A$

Comment: